



# Heavy ions at LHCb and connections to EIC

Matt Durham for the LHCb collaboration *durham@lanl.gov* 



#### **INSTITUTE** for **NUCLEAR THEORY**

#### Heavy Ion Physics in the EIC Era July 29, 2024 - August 23, 2024

## LHCb – unique capabilities for QCD

- Unique forward rapidity coverage
  - Unparalleled access to low- and high-x regions inside the nucleus

#### Large forward momentum boost

- Full PID, reconstruct resonances to  $p_T = 0$
- Clear separation between primary and displaced vertices ٠

#### **Fast DAQ and detectors**

Access to rare probes: *b* quarks, higher quarkonia, exotic states

#### Unique fixed-target system

- Explore p+gas and Pb+gas collisions at ~RHIC energies
- Incredibly versatile physics program ٠

#### Major upgrades in place – increased centrality reach Los Alamos



#### LHCb and EIC: many overlapping physics topics



Partonic structure of nucleons

Photoproduction

Hadron spectroscopy





#### Hadronization





#### Low x

- Forward rapidity allows us to look deep into the nucleus:
  - Constrain structure of nucleons at low *x* values
  - Understand the physics of QCD at high gluon density  $\rightarrow$  search for saturation  $R_G^{Pb}$







#### Low x

- Forward rapidity allows us to look deep into the nucleus:
  - Constrain structure of nucleons at low *x* values
  - Understand the physics of QCD at high gluon density  $\rightarrow$  search for saturation  $R_G^{Pb}$







#### Forward charm – constraining nPDF





### Forward charm – constraining nPDF



- Precise LHCb data on *D* mesons now the primary nPDF constraint at x<10<sup>-3</sup>
- Dramatic impact on gluon uncertainties down to x~10<sup>-6</sup> (nNNPDF3.0)







- Forward data well within uncertainties from updated nPDF calculation
- Backwards rapidity shows clear deviation from nPDF



## **Unidentified charged particles**



- Forward data well within uncertainties from EPPS16 nPDF calculation
- Backwards rapidity not described by nPDF or multiple scattering calculation
  - Additional effects from medium?



## Identified light mesons - $\pi^0$

PRL 131 042302 (2023)







- Forward rapidity:
  - Excellent agreement with nPDF and charged particles
- Backward rapidity:
  - Slight excess over nPDF calculation
  - Deficit compared to charged particles
- Potential mechanisms:
  - Mass dependent radial flow affecting charged particles
  - Baryon enhancement at backwards rapidity



## Identified light mesons - $\eta$ and $\eta'$

PRC 109 024907 (2024)

- Nuclear modification of identified particles allows us to probe mass-dependent effects
- The  $\eta'$  is especially interesting: a meson with nearly the same mass as a proton  $\times 10^4$





## Identified light mesons - $\eta$ and $\eta'$

PRC 109 024907 (2024)

- Nuclear modification of identified particles allows us to probe mass-dependent effects
- The  $\eta'$  is especially interesting: a meson with nearly the same mass as a proton



- Agreement between all light mesons no evidence for mass dependence
- Potential baryon/meson effects under investigation with identified hadrons







### From vacuum to the QCD medium – quark coalescence





### From vacuum to the QCD medium – quark coalescence







## From vacuum to the QCD medium – quark coalescence







- Quarks that overlap in position/velocity space can coalesce to make color neutral hadrons
- At high density, expect increased production of hadrons with strange quarks and enhanced production of 3-quark baryons
- Expect pure fragmentation at low density



- Coalescence provides a new mechanism for baryon formation 3 quarks wavefunctions overlap
- Baryon enhancement is therefore a signature of coalescence







Baryon/meson ratio shows significant p<sub>T</sub> dependence Consistent with previous results (semileptonic decays) Consistent with pPb results, within large uncertainties





Baryon/meson ratio shows significant  $p_T$  dependence Consistent with previous results (semileptonic decays) Consistent with pPb results, within large uncertainties

Compare to Statistical Hadronization Model that uses two sets of baryons as input:

- Known baryon states from PDG
- Expanded set of baryons predicted by the Relativistic Quark Model





Baryon/meson ratio shows significant p<sub>T</sub> dependence Consistent with previous results (semileptonic decays) Consistent with pPb results, within large uncertainties

Compare to Statistical Hadronization Model that uses two sets of baryons as input:

- Known baryon states from PDG
- Expanded set of baryons predicted by the Relativistic Quark Model

PYTHIA8 fails to reproduce  $p_T$  dependence

EPOS4HQ with only fragmentation also fails

EPOS4HQ with fragmentation+quark coalescence does much better, slightly overpredicts ratio





- Baryon/meson ratio shows significant multiplicity dependence
- Increases by a factor of ~2 and plateaus for collisions with >2x average multiplicity
- Reproduce  $e^+e^-$  result as multiplicity approaches zero

b quarks in low multiplicity collisions have nothing to coalesce with  $\rightarrow$  fragment in vacuum





- Baryon/meson ratio shows significant multiplicity dependence
- Increases by a factor of ~2 and plateaus for collisions with >2x average multiplicity
- Reproduce  $e^+e^-$  result as multiplicity approaches zero

SHM reproduces trend with plateau – all possible baryon states populated at high multiplicity





• Clear multiplicity dependence at relatively low p<sub>T</sub>



 $\Lambda_b^0$ 



- Clear multiplicity dependence at relatively low  $p_T$
- Reproduce  $e^+e^-$  result at high  $p_{\tau}$  where b quarks don't interact with bulk and just fragment
- Identical conclusions for strangeness enhancement in B mesons: Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 061901 Los Alamos

 $\Lambda_b^0$ 









Comparison between X(3872) and  $\psi$ (2S) suggests **something different** may be happening to exotic vs conventional hadrons in medium

Initial state effects (eg shadowing) should largely cancel in ratio

Enhancing effects start to out compete breakup?

```
• arXiv:2302.03828
```

Prompt X(3872)/ $\psi$ (2S) = 0.26 ± 0.08 ± 0.05 in forward pPb Prompt X(3872)/ $\psi$ (2S) = 0.23 ± 0.15 ± 0.10 in backward pPb Falls between pp (~0.1) and PbPb (~1.0) AMBIGUITY between X(3872) enhancement and  $\psi$ (2S) suppression



## X(3872) in *p*Pb



Ambiguity lifted by measuring nuclear modification factors:



modification factor of a tetraquark!



## X(3872) in *p*Pb



First measurement ever of nuclear modification factor of a tetraquark!

Ambiguity lifted by measuring nuclear modification factors:

$$R_{pA}^{\chi_{c1}(3872)} = \frac{\sigma_{pA}^{\chi_{c1}(3872)}}{208 \times \sigma_{pp}^{\chi_{c1}(3872)}}$$

Evidence for enhancement of X(3872) in *p*Pb: Coalescence dominating over breakup?

Similar mechanism for baryon enhancement could also increase tetraquark production





## $J/\psi$ in jets

- Charmonia provides a platform for testing ۰ perturbative and non-perturbative QCD
- Long-standing with description of charmonia production and polarization
- Charmonia in jets provides new way to ٠ examine production mechanisms





## $J/\psi$ in jets

- Charmonia provides a platform for testing perturbative and non-perturbative QCD
- Long-standing with description of charmonia production and polarization
- Charmonia in jets provides new way to examine production mechanisms





## $J/\psi$ in jets

- Charmonia provides a platform for testing perturbative and non-perturbative QCD
- Long-standing with description of charmonia production and polarization
- Charmonia in jets provides new way to examine production mechanisms





## $\psi$ (2S) in jets



#### LHCb-PAPER-2024-021

- The same measurement can also be done with  $oldsymbol{\psi}$ (2S)
  - Very little feeddown, unlike  $J/\psi$



 $b 
ightarrow \psi$ (2S) : well described by PYTHIA Very similar to  $b 
ightarrow J/\psi$ 



## $\psi$ (2S) in jets



- The same measurement can also be done with  $oldsymbol{\psi}$ (2S)
  - Very little feeddown, unlike  $J/\psi$



 $b 
ightarrow \psi$ (2S) : well described by PYTHIA Very similar to  $b 
ightarrow J/\psi$ 

#### LHCb-PAPER-2024-021



Prompt: less isolated than NRQCD prediction Two component structure: different production mechanisms?



## *X*(3872) in jets



#### LHCb-PAPER-2024-021



b o X(3872) : well described by PYTHIA Very similar to  $b o J/\psi$ 



## *X*(3872) in jets



#### LHCb-PAPER-2024-021



b o X(3872) : well described by PYTHIA Very similar to  $b o J/\psi$ 

Prompt: Rises towards isolation, very different from conventional  $c\bar{c}$  state  $\psi$ (2S)



## Compare: prompt $J/\psi$ , $\psi$ (2S), X(3872)





mechanisms?

37







## **Different probes of the nucleus - UPC**

- LHCb has full particle ID and collects large samples of UPC events
- Forward reach and high statistics provides new constraints on saturation models



• LHCb is a nearly ideal detector for UPCs: fast DAQ, forward boost, full PID



٠

## **Different probes of the nucleus - UPC**

- LHCb has full particle ID and collects large samples of UPC events
- Forward reach and high statistics provides new constraints on saturation models



- High precision access to very low p<sub>T</sub> identified hadrons uniquely accessible at LHCb
- Multiple new UPC measurements underway



•



## **Different probes of the nucleus - UPC**

- LHCb has full particle ID and collects large samples of UPC events
- Forward reach and high statistics provides new constraints on saturation models



- High precision access to very low p<sub>T</sub> identified hadrons uniquely accessible at LHCb
- Multiple new UPC measurements underway



### New hadrons discovered at the LHC



**LOS Alamos** NATIONAL LABORATORY

## Central exclusive production of $J/\psi\phi$



- Select events with exactly four tracks: two muons, two kaons
- Veto additional activity with HERSCHEL
- Clear signals for  $\phi$ (1020) and  $J/\psi$



#### **Central exclusive production of** $J/\psi\phi$ 2407.14301 80 Events / ( 25 MeV Data LHCb LHCb 70 Fotal fit 5 fb<sup>-1</sup> 5 fb<sup>-1</sup> χ<sub>c1</sub>(4140) 60 E χ<sub>c1</sub>(4274) 50 ·· χ<sub>c0</sub>(4500) vents Vents Sideband: -...- $\chi_{c1}(4685) + \chi_{c0}(4700)$ 40 ---- NR $N_{tracks} > 4$ 30 20 2010 E 10 E 4000 5000 4000 6000 7000 8000 4500 5000 5500 6000 $M_{J/\psi\phi}$ [MeV] $M_{J/\psi\phi}$ [MeV]

- Structures apparent when selecting only 4 tracks
- Gone when looking at "sideband" of events with more activity



## Central exclusive production of $J/\psi\phi$





The beginning of a totally new hadron spectroscopy program



## LHCb Upgrade 1(a) – Installed



- LHCb has advanced the state of the art with full streaming readout in pp at 40MHz
- All new tracking system allows reconstruction up to  $\sim 30\%$  most central PbPb collisions





## Fixed target upgrade – SMOG2



- Dedicated gas storage cell has been installed in front of LHCb VELO
- Allows greatly increased rates of beam+gas collisions





## Fixed target upgrade – SMOG2

- Concurrent running with pp data will provide HUGE data samples
- Reconstructions from 100 minutes of 2024 data
- Target species and luminosity priorities determined within LHCb
  - So far: pNe, pAr, pHe, lots of  $pH_2$ , Pb+gas data coming





## Tracking upgrade – Magnet Station (LS3)

- Scintillating bar tracker for very soft particles at LHCb, start installation LS3
- Expands soft physics channels previously unreachable at the LHC.
- Enabliced access to very low  $x, Q^2$  region where gluon saturation may exist in nuclei.
- Access to very soft particles from UPCs





49



## LHCb Upgrade II (Run 5+)

Further upgraded tracking to deal with high pp pileup and heavy ion collisions

- Access the full PbPb centrality range
- Precise measurements of b hadrons, exotic states, and more at low  $p_T$  in central collisions



## Summary



- LHCb plays a unique role in international heavy ion physics experiments
  - Widest range of x,  $Q^2$  accessible in the laboratory
  - Unparalleled access to b quarks, higher charmonia, exotic hadrons, etc
  - Resonances reconstructed down to  $p_T=0$
- The LHCb heavy ion group currently has a very high data/people ratio
  - With multiple SMOG2 species coming, soon we will have more data sets than groups involved in the heavy ion program
  - Severely under-utilized for UPC, flow, femtoscopy, jets, many other areas
- Ambitious upgrade plan with a direct impact on the heavy ion physics program is well underway.



Los Alamos National Laboratory is supported by DOE/Office of Science/Nuclear Physics



### backup







