Opening up baryon-numberviolating operators

Julian Heeck

INT Workshop on Baryon Number Violation

1/16/2025







Standard Model Effective Field Theory

• EFT (with Majorana neutrinos): [Weinberg, '79 & '80]

• Some symmetry/hierarchy has to exist, otherwise

$$\Lambda \sim \langle {\sf H}
angle^2/{\sf M}_
u \sim 10^{14}\,{
m GeV}$$
 — Fast proton decay!

- BNV sensitive to d >> 6, unlike any other experiment.
- ΔB dominated by d = 6, unless forbidden by symmetry!
 [Weinberg, '80]



INT '25

Example for Weinberg's selection rules:

Impose $U(1)_{3B-L}$ on SMEFT, then the lowest BNV operators have $\Delta B = \Delta L/3 = 1$ and arise at d = 9.



Probing the landscape point by point?



$\Delta B = \Delta L = 1$

- 546 d=6 operators: $y_{abcd}^{1} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} (\overline{d}_{a,\alpha}^{C} u_{b,\beta}) (\overline{Q}_{i,c,\gamma}^{C} \epsilon_{ij} L_{j,d})$ [Weinberg '79 & '80; Wilczek & Zee '79] $+ y_{abcd}^{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{il} \epsilon_{jk} (\overline{Q}_{i,a,\alpha}^{C} Q_{j,b,\beta}) (\overline{Q}_{k,c,\gamma}^{C} L_{l,d})$ $+ y_{abcd}^{3} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} (\overline{Q}_{i,a,\alpha}^{C} \epsilon_{ij} Q_{j,b,\beta}) (\overline{u}_{c,\gamma}^{C} \ell_{d})$ $+ y_{abcd}^{4} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} (\overline{d}_{a,\alpha}^{C} u_{b,\beta}) (\overline{u}_{c,\gamma}^{C} \ell_{d}) + h.c.$
- All induce 2-body nucleon decays, even those with c,b,t,τ .

[Marciano, NPB '95; Hou++, hep-ph/0509006; Dong++, 1107.3805; Gargalionis++, 2401.04768; Beneke++, 2404.09642; JH & Watkins, 2405.18478; Gisbert++, 2409.00218]

 d=6 BNV "covered" via simple two-body searches.
 [JH & Takhistov, PRD '20]



Not necessarily the fastest mode!

Two-body nucleon decays (38)

| Channel | $\Gamma^{-1}/10^{30}{ m yr}$ | Year |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------|
| $p \to e^+ + \gamma$ | 41000 | 2018 |
| $p \to e^+ + \pi^0$ | 16000 | 2016 |
| $p \to e^+ + \eta$ | 10000 | 2017 |
| $p \to e^+ + \rho^0$ | 720 | 2017 |
| $p \to e^+ + \omega$ | 1600 | 2017 |
| $p \to e^+ + K^0$ | 1000 | 2005 |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + K^{*,0}$ | 84 | 1999 |
| $p \to \mu^+ + \gamma$ | 21000 | 2018 |
| $p \to \mu^+ + \pi^0$ | 7700 | 2016 |
| $p \to \mu^+ + \eta$ | 4700 | 2017 |
| $p \to \mu^+ + \rho^0$ | 570 | 2017 |
| $p \to \mu^+ + \omega$ | 2800 | 2017 |
| $p \to \mu^+ + K^0$ | 1600 | 2012 |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + \pi^+$ | 390 | 2013 |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + \rho^+$ | 162 | 1999 |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + K^+$ | 5900 | 2014 |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + K^{*,+}$ | 130 | 2007 |

Many of these limits are decades old.

| $n \to e^- + \pi^+$ | 65 | 1988 |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|
| $n \to e^- + \rho^+$ | 62 | 1988 |
| $n \to e^- + K^+$ | 32 | 1991 |
| $n \to e^- + K^{*,+}$ | | |
| $n \to e^+ + \pi^-$ | 5300 | 2017 |
| $n \to e^+ + \rho^-$ | 217 | 1999 |
| $n \to e^+ + K^-$ | 17 | 1999 |
| $n \to e^+ + K^{*,-}$ | | |
| $n \to \mu^- + \pi^+$ | 49 | 1988 |
| $\overline{n \to \mu^- + \rho^+}$ | 7 | 1988 |
| $n \to \mu^- + K^+$ | 57 | 1991 |
| $n \to \mu^+ + \pi^-$ | 3500 | 2017 |
| $n \to \mu^+ + \rho^-$ | 228 | 1999 |
| $n \to \mu^+ + K^-$ | 26 | 1999 |
| $n \to \nu + \gamma$ | 550 | 2015 |
| $n \to \nu + \pi^0$ | 1100 | 2013 |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + \eta$ | 158 | 1999 |
| $n \to \nu + \rho^0$ | 19 | 1988 |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + \omega$ | 108 | 1999 |
| $n \to \nu + K^0$ | 130 | 2005 |
| $n \to \nu + K^{*,0}$ | 78 | 1999 |

[JH & Takhistov, PRD '20]

$\Delta B = \Delta L = 1$ covered?



INT '25

 $U(1)_{\mathsf{B}} \times U(1)_{\mathsf{L}} \times U(1)_{\mathsf{L}_{\mu}-\mathsf{L}_{\tau}} \times U(1)_{\mathsf{L}_{\mu}+\mathsf{L}_{\tau}-2\mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{e}}}$



INT '25

Proton decay = lepton flavor violation





Proton decay = lepton flavor violation





Proton decay = lepton flavor violation

 $\Delta B = \Delta L = 1$



Currently being probed: Old results:

Doable:

 $\Delta B = \Delta L = 1$



Currently being probed: Old results: Doable:

[**JH** & Watkins, 2405.18478] $\Delta(L_{\mu}-L_{\tau})$ Better: $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell'^\pm \pi^\mp \nu_\tau$ τ^+ $\rightarrow \overline{p}\mu^+\mu^+$ $\rightarrow \overline{p}\mu^+ e^+$ au^+ $\mu^+\mu^+e^$ p – $\mu^+\pi^0$ $\rightarrow \overline{p}e^{\dagger}$ *p* - $p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0$ $\Delta(L_{\mu} + L_{\tau} - 2L_e)$ $\rightarrow \overline{p}\mu^+e^$ au - $\tau
ightarrow \overline{p} \pi^0$ $p
ightarrow e^+ e^+ \mu^ \rightarrow \tau \not\models \tau^+$ $\tau \rightarrow \overline{p}e^+\mu^$ pe^+ Better: $\tau \rightarrow \overline{p}e^+e^+\mu^-\mu^$ $p\mu^+ \to \tau^+ \tau^+$ $p \rightarrow \pi^+ \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ [Marciano, NPB '95] [JH & Watkins, 2405.18478]

 $\Delta B = \Delta L = 1$

$\Delta B = \Delta L = 1$ covered now?



Beyond SMEFT

- So far: SMEFT + " $U(1)_B \times U(1)_L \times U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}} \times U(1)_{L_{\mu}+L_{\tau}-2L_e}$ " to identify potentially dominant BNV.
- Now, find UV completions for BNV operators:
 - Generates a *physically motivated* operator basis;
 - Could have interesting *accidental symmetries*;
 - Useful to have in case of a BNV observation.
- Analogous to UV completions of ΔL=2 Weinberg operator.
 [too many to cite; exhaustive up to d=11: Gargalionis & Volkas, 2009.13537]
- UV completions for all SMEFT operators exist up to d = 8.
 [Li++, 2309.15933]

Opening up d = 6 operators

| Leptoquark | spin | representation | Leptoquark | spin | representation |
|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| \mathcal{S}_1 | 0 | $(ar{{f 3}},{f 1},1/3)$ | \mathcal{S}_3 | 0 | $(\bar{3}, 3, 1/3)$ |
| $	ilde{\mathcal{S}}_1$ | 0 | $(ar{3},1,4/3)$ | \mathcal{V}_2 | 1 | $(ar{{f 3}},{f 2},5/6)$ |
| $ar{\mathcal{S}}_1$ | 0 | $(ar{f 3}, {f 1}, -2/3)$ | $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2$ | 1 | $(\bar{3}, 2, -1/6)$ |

| duQL | $\mathcal{S}_1,\mathcal{V}_2,\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_2$ |
|-----------|---|
| $QQu\ell$ | $\mathcal{S}_1,\mathcal{V}_2$ |
| QQQL | $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_3$ |
| $duu\ell$ | $\mathcal{S}_1,	ilde{\mathcal{S}}_1$ |

[Buchmuller, Ruckl, Weyler, '87; Dorsner++, 1603.04993]

• For example:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{L}_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{1}} &\supset -\mathsf{m}_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{1}}^{2} |\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{1}|^{2} + \left(\tilde{y}_{1\,\mathsf{ab}}^{\mathsf{RR}}\,\bar{d}_{\mathsf{R}\,\mathsf{a}}^{\mathsf{C}}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{1}^{\mathsf{e}_{\mathsf{R}\,\mathsf{b}}} + \tilde{z}_{1\,\mathsf{ab}}^{\mathsf{RR}}\,\bar{u}_{\mathsf{R}\,\mathsf{a}}^{\mathsf{C}}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{1}^{*}\mathsf{u}_{\mathsf{R}\,\mathsf{b}} + \mathrm{h.c.}\right) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{2}{\frac{\mathsf{y}_{1\,\mathsf{ad}}^{\mathsf{RR}}}{\mathsf{m}_{2\,\mathsf{bc}}^{\mathsf{2}}}}{\mathsf{e}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}} \tilde{\mathsf{d}}_{\mathsf{a},\alpha}^{\mathsf{C}}\mathsf{u}_{\mathsf{b},\beta}) (\overline{\mathsf{u}}_{\mathsf{c},\gamma}^{\mathsf{C}}\ell_{\mathsf{d}}) + \mathrm{h.c.} \end{split}$$

• $\tilde{z}_{1 ab}^{RR}$ is antisymmetric \rightarrow charm or top quark BNV!

[Dong++, 1107.3805; Dorsner, Fajfer, Kosnik, 1204.0674]

UV completions shed different light on BNV

- Collect all SMEFT BNV operators, trivial with Sym2Int. [Renato M. Fonseca, 1703.05221 & 1907.12584]
- Exponential growth of "operators" (~field strings):



- Generate all irreducible tree-level topologies.
- Exponential growth:



- For each operator, pick topology and distribute fields.
- Multiply group representations using GroupMath.
- E.g. ddLQHH:

[Renato M. Fonseca, 2011.01764]



- For each operator, pick topology and distribute fields.
- Multiply group representations using GroupMath.
- E.g. ddLQHH:

[Renato M. Fonseca, 2011.01764]



[**JH**, D. Sokhashvili, Thapa, to appear]

- Also include global Lorentz $SU(2)_{left} \times SU(2)_{right}$ for spin.
- Then permute external particles over topology and repeat...

INT '25

Derivative operators

- So far only BNV operators without derivatives. Why?
- More complicated, not always tree-level completion.
- Generically sub-dominant at tree-level:



- Same UV completions, dominant only through finetuning.
- Exception: operators with $HD_{\mu}H$ that vanish without D_{μ} . [Gargalionis & Volkas, 2009.13537]

- Code fast enough to reach $d \sim 15$.
- Similar code developed for $\Delta L=2$ operators. [Gargalionis & Volkas, '21]
- Already revealed some mistakes in literature.
- Can be used to open up **any** (non-derivative) EFT operator.

- Code fast enough to reach $d \sim 15$.
- Similar code developed for $\Delta L=2$ operators. [Gargalionis & Volkas, '21]
- Already revealed some mistakes in literature.
- Can be used to open up **any** (non-derivative) EFT operator.
- Find accidentally protected operators. [Weinberg, '80]
- E.g. add Dirac fermion (3,3,2/3) and vector LQ (3,3,-1/3).
 - Only generates BNV operator QQdeHH (d=8, B=L=1).
 - Could be dominant but *not* protected by symmetry.
 - Gives genuine loop realization of d=6 BNV operator.
 [also discussed in Gargalionis, Herrero-Garcia, Schmidt, 2401.04768] See also talk by Svjetlana Fajfer about BNV loops!



- E.g. add Dirac fermion (3,3,2/3) and vector LQ (3,3,-1/3).
 - Only generates BNV operator QQdeHH (d=8, B=L=1).
 - Could be dominant but *not* protected by symmetry.
 - Gives genuine loop realization of d=6 BNV operator.
 [also discussed in Gargalionis, Herrero-Garcia, Schmidt, 2401.04768] See also talk by Svjetlana Fajfer about BNV loops!

Protected operators

- d > 6 operators could dominate either because we impose a B/L symmetry à la Weinberg or due to UV structure.
- Can make any d=7 or d=8 operator dominant through UV:

 $\mathsf{HHddQL}, \bar{\mathsf{H}\bar{\mathsf{H}}}\mathsf{uuQL}, \mathsf{H\bar{\mathsf{H}}}\mathsf{duQL}, \mathsf{H\bar{\mathsf{H}}}\mathsf{duue}, \mathsf{HHdQQe}, \mathsf{H\bar{\mathsf{H}}}\mathsf{QQQL}, \mathsf{H\bar{\mathsf{H}}}\mathsf{uQQe}$

- Can make most d=9 operators (23/26), e.g.
 ddddde, dddeee, ddueLL, dduuQL, HHHddQe, ...
- Can make *all* 54 d=10 operators, *all* 60 d=11 operators, ...
- More abundant than symmetry-protected operators!
- These either have accidental B/L symmetry or give loop realization of lower-dim operators.

[JH, D. Sokhashvili, Thapa, to appear]

Protected operators



...so many operators, many with multi-particle BNV final states. Can we test all of them?

Full BNV coverage possible?

- Cannot to go through all $\Delta B > 0$ decays:
 - 38 two-body ΔB = 1 modes: N → AB. 36 limits.
 - 76 three-body Δ B = 1 modes: N → ABC. 33 limits.
 - 300 four-body ΔB = 1 modes: N → ABCD. 0 limits.
 - 118 two-body ΔB = 2 modes: NN → AB. 18 limits.
 - 500 three-body ΔB = 2 modes: NN → ABC. 0 limits.
- *Exclusive* searches can reach $t \sim 10^{34}$ yr in Super-K.

Inclusive searches to the rescue!

. . .

Inclusive searches

Current limits from PDG:

 $\Gamma^{-1}(N \rightarrow e + anything) > 0.6 \times 10^{30} \text{ yr}, \text{ [Learned, Reines, Soni, '79]}$ $\Gamma^{-1}(N \rightarrow \mu + \text{anything}) > 12 \times 10^{30} \text{ yr.}$ [Cherry, Deakyne, Lande, Lee,

- 45 years old, improve with new tech!
- Steinberg, Cleveland, '81]
- $p \rightarrow e^+$ + anything in SK could reach 10³² yr, judging by

 $\Gamma^{-1}(p \to e^+ \nu \nu) > 1.7 \times 10^{32} \text{ yr.}$ [Super-K, PRL '14]

- Do inclusive searches for $N \rightarrow \ell/\text{meson} + \text{anything}$.
- Also probes $\Delta B > 1$, light new physics, and dark matter!

Invisible neutron decay

• Special case of inclusive searches:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma^{-1}(n \rightarrow neutrinos) > 0.58 \times 10^{30} \, \text{yr}, \\ & \Gamma^{-1}(nn \rightarrow neutrinos) > 1.4 \times 10^{30} \, \text{yr}, \\ & \Gamma^{-1}(nnn \rightarrow neutrinos) > 1.8 \times 10^{23} \, \text{yr}, \\ & \Gamma^{-1}(nnnn \rightarrow neutrinos) > 1.4 \times 10^{23} \, \text{yr}. \end{split}$$
 [KamLAND, PRL '06; see also SNO+, PRD '19] (Hazama, Ejiri, Fushimi, Ohsumi, PRC '94]

- Only signature is de-excitation of daughter nucleus. [Ejiri, '93]
- Every $\Delta B = k$ operator gives rise to k neutrons \rightarrow neutrinos.
- Neutrinos carry away arbitrary lepton number & flavor!
- Also probes light new physics and dark matter.
- JUNO can improve KamLAND limit. [JUNO, 2405.17792]
 See also talk by Cailian Jiang!
 [JH & Takhistov, PRD '20]

INT '25



Summary

- BNV nuclear decays probe
 - high scales (10¹⁵ GeV) or
 - high multiplicities (N \rightarrow 15 particles) or
 - high operator dimensions (d~15)! <

- SK/HK,
 DUNE,
 JUNO,
 0vββ exp.?
- Nearly every d≥6 BNV operator could be the starting point, either because of $B/L/L_{\alpha}$ symmetry or UV completion!
- Embarrassment of riches, BNV landscape much more difficult to map than e.g. $\Delta L = 2$ operators.
 - Inclusive searches + few theory-motivated exclusives?
- Still more: light new physics, dark matter induced $\Delta B...$

Time to cast a wider net!

Backup

$p \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^+ e^-$

- Minimal leptoquark example: $\phi_1 \sim ({f 3}, {f 3}, -2/3), \, \phi_2 \sim ({f 3}, {f 2}, 7/3).$
- $L_{\mu}+2L_{e}-3L_{\tau}$ ensures simple structure $y_{j}\overline{L}_{\mu}\phi_{1}Q_{j}^{c}+f_{j}\overline{u}_{j}\phi_{2}L_{e}+\lambda\phi_{1}^{2}\phi_{2}H$.
- Final $\Delta B=1$ operator: $\frac{1}{\Lambda^6}QQuL_{\mu}L_{\mu}\overline{L}_{e}H$.
- Lattice QCD input: $\langle 0|uud|p \rangle$.

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{p}
ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^+ \mathbf{e}^-) \simeq rac{\langle \mathbf{H}
angle^2 eta^2 \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{p}}^5}{6144 \pi^3 \Lambda^{12}} \simeq rac{(100 \mathrm{TeV}/\Lambda)^{12}}{10^{33} \mathrm{yr}}$$



[Hambye, **JH**, PRL '18]

Two-body nucleon decays

| Channel | $ \Delta(B-L) $ | $\frac{\Gamma^{-1}}{10^{30} \text{ yr}}$ | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|----------|---|
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + \gamma$ | 0 | 41000 72 | $n \to e^- + \pi^+$ | 2 | 65 79 (5300* 73) |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^0$ | 0 | 16000 24 | $n \to e^- + \rho^+$ | 2 | 62 79 $(217^*$ 65) |
| $p \to e^+ + \eta$ | 0 | 10000 73 | $n \to e^- + K^+$ | 2 | 32 <u>62</u> |
| $p \to e^+ + \rho^0$ | 0 | 720 73 | $n \to e^- + K^{*,+}$ | 2 | |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + \omega$ | 0 | 1600 73 | $n \to e^+ + \pi^-$ | 0 | 5300 <mark>73</mark> |
| $p \to e^+ + K^0$ | 0 | 1000 74 | $n \to e^+ + \rho^-$ | 0 | 217 <u>65</u> |
| $p \to e^+ + K^{*,0}$ | 0 | 84 65 | $n \to e^+ + K^-$ | 0 | 17 <u>65</u> |
| $p \to \mu^+ + \gamma$ | 0 | 21000 72 | $n \to e^+ + K^{*,-}$ | 0 | |
| $p \to \mu^+ + \pi^0$ | 0 | 7700 24 | $n \to \mu^- + \pi^+$ | 2 | 49 79 (3500^* 73) |
| $p \to \mu^+ + \eta$ | 0 | 4700 73 | $n \to \mu^- + \rho^+$ | 2 | $7 \ \underline{79} \ (228^* \ \underline{65})$ |
| $p 	o \mu^+ + \rho^0$ | 0 | 570 <mark>73</mark> | $n \to \mu^- + K^+$ | 2 | 57 <u>62</u> |
| $p \to \mu^+ + \omega$ | 0 | 2800 73 | $n \to \mu^+ + \pi^-$ | 0 | 3500 <mark>73</mark> |
| $p \to \mu^+ + K^0$ | 0 | 1600 75 | $n \to \mu^+ + \rho^-$ | 0 | 228 <u>65</u> |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + \pi^+$ | 0,2 | 390 76 | $n \to \mu^+ + K^-$ | 0 | 26 <u>65</u> |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + \rho^+$ | 0,2 | 162 <mark>65</mark> | $n \rightarrow \nu + \gamma$ | 0,2 | 550 <u>28</u> |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + K^+$ | 0,2 | 5900 77 | $n \rightarrow \nu + \pi^0$ | 0,2 | 1100 <u>76</u> |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + K^{*,+}$ | 0,2 | 130 78 | $n \rightarrow \nu + \eta$ | 0,2 | 158 <u>65</u> |
| | | | $n \rightarrow \nu + \rho^0$ | 0,2 | 19 <mark>79</mark> |
| | | | $n \rightarrow \nu + \omega$ | 0,2 | 108 <u>65</u> |
| | | | $n \rightarrow \nu + K^0$ | 0,2 | 130 74 |
| [11] Takhio | toy DDD '20 | 1 | $n \rightarrow \nu + K^{*,0}$ | 0,2 | 78 65 |

[JH, Takhistov, PRD '20]

Three-body nucleon decays

| Channel | $ \Delta(B-L) $ | $\frac{\Gamma^{-1}}{10^{30} \text{ yr}}$ |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| $p \rightarrow e^- + e^+ + e^+$ | 0 | 793 65 |
| $p \rightarrow e^- + e^+ + \mu^+$ | 0 | 529 <mark>65</mark> |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + e^+ + \mu^-$ | 0 | 529 [*] 65 |
| $p \rightarrow e^- + \mu^+ + \mu^+$ | 0 | 6 <u>64</u> (359 [*] <u>65</u>) |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + \mu^- + \mu^+$ | 0 | 359 <mark>65</mark> |
| $p \rightarrow \mu^- + \mu^+ + \mu^+$ | 0 | 675 <mark>65</mark> |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + 2\nu$ | 0,2 | 170 81 |
| $p \rightarrow \mu^+ + 2\nu$ | 0,2 | 220 81 |
| $p \rightarrow e^- + 2\pi^+$ | 2 | 30 62 (82* 65) |
| $p \rightarrow e^- + \pi^+ + \rho^+$ | 2 | |
| $p \rightarrow e^- + K^+ + \pi^+$ | 2 | 75 65 |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + 2\gamma$ | 0 | 100 82 (793* 65) |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^- + \pi^+$ | 0 | 82 65 |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + \rho^- + \pi^+$ | 0 | |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + K^- + \pi^+$ | 0 | 75 [*] 65 |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^- + \rho^+$ | 0 | |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^- + K^+$ | 0 | 75 [*] 65 |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + 2\pi^0$ | 0 | 147 65 |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^0 + \eta$ | 0 | |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^0 + \rho^0$ | 0 | |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^0 + \omega$ | 0 | |
| $p \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^0 + K^0$ | 0 | |
| $p \rightarrow \mu^- + 2\pi^+$ | 2 | 17 <u>62</u> (133 [*] <u>65</u>) |
| $p \rightarrow \mu^- + K^+ + \pi^+$ | 2 | 245 <mark>65</mark> |
| $p \rightarrow \mu^+ + 2\gamma$ | 0 | 529 [*] 65 |
| $p \rightarrow \mu^+ + \pi^- + \pi^+$ | 0 | 133 65 |
| $p \rightarrow \mu^+ + K^- + \pi^+$ | 0 | 245 [*] 65 |
| $p \rightarrow \mu^+ + \pi^- + K^+$ | 0 | 245 [*] 65 |
| $p \rightarrow \mu^+ + 2\pi^0$ | 0 | 101 65 |
| $p \rightarrow \mu^+ + \pi^0 + \eta$ | 0 | |
| $p \rightarrow \mu^+ + \pi^0 + K^0$ | 0 | |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + \pi^+ + \pi^0$ | 0,2 | |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + \pi^+ + \eta$ | 0,2 | |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + \pi^+ + \rho^0$ | 0,2 | |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + \pi^+ + \omega$ | 0,2 | |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + \pi^+ + K^0$ | 0,2 | |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + \rho^+ + \pi^0$ | 0,2 | |
| $p \rightarrow \nu + K^+ + \pi^0$ | 0.2 | |

| Channel | $ \Delta(B-L) $ | $\frac{\Gamma^{-1}}{10^{30} \text{ yr}}$ |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| $n \rightarrow \nu + e^- + e^+$ | 0,2 | 257 <u>65</u> |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + e^- + \mu^+$ | 0,2 | 83 <mark>65</mark> |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + e^+ + \mu^-$ | 0,2 | 83* <mark>65</mark> |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + \mu^- + \mu^+$ | 0,2 | 79 <mark>65</mark> |
| $n \rightarrow 3\nu$ | 0,2,4 | 0.58 83 |
| $n \rightarrow e^- + \pi^+ + \pi^0$ | 2 | 29 62 (52^* 65) |
| $n \to e^- + \pi^+ + \eta$ | 2 | |
| $n \rightarrow e^- + \pi^+ + \rho^0$ | 2 | |
| $n \to e^- + \pi^+ + \omega$ | 2 | |
| $n \rightarrow e^- + \pi^+ + K^0$ | 2 | |
| $n \rightarrow e^- + \rho^+ + \pi^0$ | 2 | |
| $n \rightarrow e^- + K^+ + \pi^0$ | 2 | |
| $n \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0$ | 0 | 52 <u>65</u> |
| $n \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^- + \eta$ | 0 | |
| $n \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^- + \rho^0$ | 0 | |
| $n \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^- + \omega$ | 0 | |
| $n \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^- + K^0$ | 0 | 18 82 |
| $n \rightarrow e^+ + \rho^- + \pi^0$ | 0 | |
| $n \rightarrow e^+ + K^- + \pi^0$ | 0 | |
| $n \to \mu^- + \pi^+ + \pi^0$ | 2 | 34 62 $(74^*$ 65) |
| $n \rightarrow \mu^- + \pi^+ + \eta$ | 2 | |
| $n \rightarrow \mu^- + \pi^+ + K^0$ | 2 | |
| $n \rightarrow \mu^- + K^+ + \pi^0$ | 2 | |
| $n \rightarrow \mu^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0$ | 0 | 74 <u>65</u> |
| $n \rightarrow \mu^+ + \pi^- + \eta$ | 0 | |
| $n \rightarrow \mu^+ + \pi^- + K^0$ | 0 | |
| $n \rightarrow \mu^+ + K^- + \pi^0$ | 0 | |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + 2\gamma$ | 0,2 | 219 <u>65</u> |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + \pi^- + \pi^+$ | 0,2 | |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + \rho^- + \pi^+$ | 0,2 | |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + K^- + \pi^+$ | 0,2 | |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + \pi^- + \rho^+$ | 0,2 | |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + \pi^- + K^+$ | 0,2 | |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + 2\pi^0$ | 0,2 | |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + \pi^0 + \eta$ | 0,2 | |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + \pi^0 + \rho^0$ | 0,2 | |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + \pi^0 + \omega$ | 0,2 | |
| $n \rightarrow \nu + \pi^0 + K^0$ | 0,2 | |
| | | |

[JH, Takhistov, PRD '20] Does not include SK's 2020 limits on $p \rightarrow \ell \ell \ell$.

Two-body di-nucleon decays

| Channel | $ \Delta(B-L) $ | $\frac{\Gamma^{-1}}{10^{30} \text{ yr}}$ |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| $pp \rightarrow e^+ + e^+$ | 0 | 4200 72 |
| $pp \to \mu^+ + \mu^+$ | 0 | 4400 72 |
| $pp \to e^+ + \mu^+$ | 0 | 4400 72 |
| $pp \rightarrow e^+ + \tau^+$ | 0 | |
| $pp \to \pi^+ + \pi^+$ | 2 | 72 115 |
| $pp \rightarrow \pi^+ + \rho^+$ | 2 | |
| $pp \to \pi^+ + K^+$ | 2 | |
| $pp \to \pi^+ + K^{*,+}$ | 2 | |
| $pp \rightarrow \rho^+ + \rho^+$ | 2 | |
| $pp \rightarrow \rho^+ + K^+$ | 2 | |
| $pp \rightarrow \rho^+ + K^{*,+}$ | 2 | |
| $pp \to K^+ + K^+$ | 2 | 170 116 |
| $pp \rightarrow K^+ + K^{*,+}$ | 2 | |
| $pp \to K^{*,+} + K^{*,+}$ | 2 | |

| $nn \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$ | 2 | 4200 72 |
|---------------------------------|-------|---|
| $nn \rightarrow e^+ + \mu^-$ | 2 | 4400 72 |
| $nn \rightarrow \mu^+ + e^-$ | 2 | 4400 72 |
| $nn \rightarrow \mu^+ + \mu^-$ | 2 | 4400 72 |
| $nn \rightarrow e^+ + \tau^-$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow \tau^+ + e^-$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to 2\nu$ | 0,2,4 | 1.4 83 |
| $nn \rightarrow 2\gamma$ | 2 | 4100 72 |
| $nn \to \gamma + \pi^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \gamma + \eta$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \gamma + \rho^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \gamma + \omega$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \gamma + \eta'$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \gamma + K^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \gamma + K^{*,0}$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \gamma + D^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \gamma + \phi$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \pi^- + \pi^+$ | 2 | $0.7 \ \boxed{62} \ (72^* \ \boxed{115})$ |
| $nn \rightarrow \pi^+ + \rho^-$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow K^- + \pi^+$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to K^{*,-} + \pi^+$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow \pi^- + \rho^+$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow K^+ + \pi^-$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to K^{*,+} + \pi^-$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow 2\pi^0$ | 2 | 404 115 |
| $nn \rightarrow \eta + \pi^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow \pi^0 + \rho^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow \pi^0 + \omega$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \eta' + \pi^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to K^0 + \pi^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to K^{*,0} + \pi^0$ | 2 | |
| | | |

| Channel | $ \Delta(B-L) $ | $\frac{\Gamma^{-1}}{10^{30} \text{ yr}}$ |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| $nn \rightarrow \pi^0 + \phi$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow 2\eta$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow \eta + \rho^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \eta + \omega$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \eta + \eta'$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow \eta + K^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \eta + K^{*,0}$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \eta + \phi$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow 2\rho^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn ightarrow ho^0 + \omega$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \eta' + \rho^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow K^0 + \rho^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow K^{*,0} + \rho^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow \rho^0 + \phi$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow \rho^- + \rho^+$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow K^+ + \rho^-$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow K^{*,+} + \rho^-$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow K^- + \rho^+$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow K^{*,-} + \rho^+$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow 2\omega$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \eta' + \omega$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow K^0 + \omega$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow K^{*,0} + \omega$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \omega + \phi$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \eta' + K^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to \eta' + K^{*,0}$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to K^- + K^+$ | 2 | 170^{*} 116 |
| $nn \to K^+ + K^{*,-}$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to K^- + K^{*,+}$ | 2 | |
| $nn \rightarrow 2K^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to K^{*,0} + K^0$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to K^0 + \phi$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to 2K^{*,0}$ | 2 | |
| $nn \to K^{*,-} + K^{*,+}$ | 2 | |
| | | |

| Channel | $ \Delta(B-L) $ | $\frac{\Gamma^{-1}}{10^{30} \text{ yr}}$ |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| $pn \rightarrow e^+ + \nu$ | 0,2 | 260 28 |
| $pn \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu$ | 0,2 | 200 28 |
| $pn \rightarrow \tau^+ + \nu$ | 0,2 | 29 28 |
| $pn \rightarrow \gamma + \pi^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow \gamma + \rho^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to \gamma + K^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to \gamma + K^{*,+}$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow \gamma + D^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to \pi^+ + \pi^0$ | 2 | 170 115 |
| $pn \rightarrow \eta + \pi^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow \pi^+ + \rho^0$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to \pi^+ + \omega$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow \eta' + \pi^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow K^0 + \pi^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow K^{*,0} + \pi^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow \pi^+ + \phi$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow \pi^0 + \rho^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow K^+ + \pi^0$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow K^{*,+} + \pi^0$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow \eta + \rho^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow \eta + K^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow \eta + K^{*,+}$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow \rho^+ + \rho^0$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow K^+ + \rho^0$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to K^{*,+} + \rho^0$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow \rho^+ + \omega$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to \eta' + \rho^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow K^0 + \rho^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to K^{*,0} + \rho^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow \rho^+ + \phi$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to K^+ + \omega$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to K^{*,+} + \omega$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to \eta' + K^+$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to \eta' + K^{*,+}$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to K^+ + K^0$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to K^+ + K^{*,0}$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to K^+ + \phi$ | 2 | |
| $pn \to K^{*,+} + K^0$ | 2 | |
| $pn \rightarrow K^{*,+} + K^{*,0}$ | 2 | |

[JH, Takhistov, PRD '20]

INT '25

$ppp \ \rightarrow \ e^{+}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}$

 e^{c} ν^{c} u^{c} d^{c} l Q H Symmetry Z_6 3 6 5 5 1 2 1 $\mathbb{Z}_6 \subset \mathsf{U}(1)_{2\mathsf{Y}-\mathsf{B}+3\mathsf{L}}$ [Babu, Gogoladze, Wang, '03] allows for d = 15 $\Delta B = 3\Delta L = 3$ operators $\frac{1}{\Lambda^{11}}Q^5d^4\ell, \ldots$ • ppp $\rightarrow e^+\pi^+\pi^+$, ppn $\rightarrow e^+\pi^+$, pnn $\rightarrow e^+\pi^0$, nn $\rightarrow \overline{n}\overline{\nu}, \ldots$ ⁷⁶Ge • $\tau(\text{pnn} \rightarrow \text{e}^+\pi^0) \simeq 3 \times 10^{33} \text{ yr } \left(\frac{\Lambda}{100 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{22}$. • Limits: 2pn $\tau(^{73}\text{Ge}(\text{pnn}) \rightarrow ^{70}\text{Gae}^+\pi^0) > 7 \times 10^{23} \text{ yr},$ 2np τ (⁷⁶Ge(ppn) \rightarrow ⁷³Zn e⁺ π ⁺) > 5 × 10²⁵ yr, $\tau(^{76}\text{Ge}(\text{ppp}) \rightarrow ^{73}\text{Cue}^+\pi^+\pi^+) > 5 \times 10^{25} \text{ yr}, \dots$ Q. 4290 [Majorana Demonstrator, PRD '19; see also EXO-200, '18] 0.499 s 1/2- 4 66

SK, JUNO, DUNE, HK?

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{7,(1,-1)}^1 &\equiv \bar{H}ddQ\bar{e} \,, \\ \mathcal{O}_{7,(1,-1)}^2 &\equiv \bar{H}dQQ\bar{L} \,, \\ \mathcal{O}_{7,(1,-1)}^3 &\equiv \bar{H}ddu\bar{L} \,, \\ \mathcal{O}_{7,(1,-1)}^4 &\equiv Hddd\bar{L} \end{aligned}$

 $\mathcal{O}_{8,(1,1)}^{1} \equiv HHddQL \,,$ $\mathcal{O}^2_{8,\,(1,1)} \equiv HHdQQe\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{8,(1,1)}^3 \equiv \bar{H}\bar{H}uuQL\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{8,(1,1)}^4 \equiv H\bar{H}QQQL\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{8,\,(1,1)}^5 \equiv H\bar{H}duQL\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{8,\,(1,1)}^6 \equiv H\bar{H}uQQe\,,$ $\mathcal{O}^7_{8,\,(1,1)} \equiv H\bar{H}duue\,,$

INT '25

 $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,-1)}^1 \equiv dddd\bar{d}\bar{e}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}^2_{9,\,(1,-1)} \equiv ddde\bar{e}\bar{e}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}^3_{9,\,(1,-1)} \equiv dddQ\bar{Q}\bar{e}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,\,(1,-1)}^4 \equiv dd\bar{u}QQ\bar{e}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,\,(1,-1)}^5 \equiv dddu\bar{u}\bar{e}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,\,(1,-1)}^6 \equiv ddd\bar{d}Q\bar{L}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}^7_{9,\,(1,-1)} \equiv ddd\bar{e}L\bar{L}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,-1)}^8 \equiv ddQ e\bar{e}\bar{L}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,-1)}^9 \equiv ddQL\bar{L}\bar{L}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,-1)}^{10} \equiv dQ Q e \bar{L} \bar{L} \,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,-1)}^{11} \equiv ddue\bar{L}\bar{L}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,-1)}^{12} \equiv ddQQ\bar{Q}\bar{L}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,-1)}^{13} \equiv dddu \bar{Q} \bar{L} \,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,-1)}^{14} \equiv d\bar{u}QQQ\bar{L}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,-1)}^{15} \equiv ddu\bar{u}Q\bar{L}\,,$

 $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,-1)}^{39} \equiv H\bar{H}\bar{H}ddQ\bar{e}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,-1)}^{40} \equiv H\bar{H}\bar{H}dQQ\bar{L}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,-1)}^{41} \equiv H\bar{H}\bar{H}ddu\bar{L}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,-1)}^{42} \equiv HH\bar{H}ddd\bar{L}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}^{43}_{9,\,(1,-1)} \equiv \bar{H}\bar{H}\bar{H}QQQ\bar{e}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,-1)}^{44} \equiv \bar{H}\bar{H}\bar{H}uQQ\bar{L}\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(1,3)}^1 \equiv uuQLLL\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,\,(1,3)}^2 \equiv uuueLL\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(2,0)}^1 \equiv ddQQQQQ\,,$ $\mathcal{O}_{9,(2,0)}^2 \equiv ddduQQ\,,$ $\mathcal{O}^3_{9,(2,0)} \equiv dddduu$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{1} \equiv Hdd\bar{d}QQL \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{16} \equiv \bar{H}duQ\bar{e}LL \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{31} \equiv HdQQLL\bar{L} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{46} \equiv Hdu\bar{u}QQ \,, \\ &\mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{2} \equiv Hd\bar{d}QQQe \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{17} \equiv \bar{H}uQQe\bar{e}L \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{32} \equiv HQQQeL\bar{L} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{93} \equiv HHH\bar{H}ddQL \,, \\ &\mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{3} \equiv Hdd\bar{d}uL \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{18} \equiv \bar{H}duue\bar{e}L \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{33} \equiv HduLL\bar{L} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{95} \equiv HHH\bar{H}\bar{H}dQQe \,, \\ &\mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{40} \equiv Hdd\bar{d}uQe \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{19} \equiv \bar{H}uuQee\bar{e} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{31} \equiv HduQeL\bar{L} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{95} \equiv H\bar{H}\bar{H}\bar{H}\bar{H}uuQL \,, \\ &\mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{5} \equiv HddQ\bar{e}LL \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{21} \equiv \bar{H}uuQeL\bar{L} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{36} \equiv HduQQ\bar{L} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{97} \equiv HH\bar{H}\bar{H}\bar{H}duQL \,, \\ &\mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{7} \equiv HdQQe\bar{e}L \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{21} \equiv \bar{H}uuQeL\bar{L} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{37} \equiv HdQQQ\bar{Q}L \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{99} \equiv HH\bar{H}\bar{H}\bar{H}uQQe \,, \\ &\mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{7} \equiv Hdue\bar{e}L \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{221} \equiv \bar{H}uuQeL\bar{L} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{37} \equiv HdQQQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{99} \equiv HH\bar{H}\bar{H}\bar{H}uQQe \,, \\ &\mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{91} \equiv Hdue\bar{e}L \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{221} \equiv \bar{H}uuQQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{31} \equiv Hd\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \,, \\ &\mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{91} \equiv Hdue\bar{e}L \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{221} \equiv \bar{H}uQQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q}L \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{31} \equiv H\bar{H}\bar{H}\bar{H}\bar{d}uue \,, \\ &\mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{91} \equiv H\bar{d}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}L \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{321} \equiv \bar{H}\bar{d}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{31} \equiv \bar{H}\bar{d}\bar{d}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \,, \\ &\mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{11} \equiv \bar{H}\bar{d}\bar{d}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}L \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{261} \equiv \bar{H}\bar{d}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{31} \equiv \bar{H}\bar{d}\bar{d}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \,, \\ &\mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{11} \equiv \bar{H}\bar{d}\bar{d}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{321} \equiv \bar{H}\bar{d}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \,, \\ &\mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{11} \equiv \bar{H}\bar{d}\bar{d}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{321} \equiv \bar{H}\bar{d}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \,, \\ &\mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{11} \equiv \bar{H}\bar{d}\bar{d}\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\bar{Q} \,, \quad \mathcal{O}_{10,(1,1)}^{31} \equiv \bar{H}\bar{d}\bar{Q$$

INT '25