# EOS and neutrino interactions in dense matter



Chuck Horowitz, Indiana U.







#### INT, Aug. 2023



Betty Tsang

#### N=Z Matter



#### Radii of <sup>208</sup>Pb and Neutron Stars

- Pressure of neutron matter pushes neutrons out against surface tension ==> R<sub>n</sub>-R<sub>p</sub> of <sup>208</sup>Pb correlated with P of neutron matter.
- Radius of a neutron star also depends on P of neutron matter.
- Measurement of Rn (<sup>208</sup>Pb) in laboratory has important implications for the structure of neutron stars.



Neutron star is 18 orders of magnitude larger than Pb nucleus but both involve neutron rich matter at similar densities with the same strong interactions and equation of state.

#### PREX uses Parity V. to Isolate Neutrons

- In Standard Model Z<sup>0</sup> boson couples to the weak charge.
- Proton weak charge is small:  $Q_W^p = 1 4 {\rm sin}^2 \Theta_W \approx 0.05$
- Neutron weak charge is big:

$$Q_W^n = -1$$

- Weak interactions, at low Q<sup>2</sup>, probe neutrons.
- Parity violating asymmetry A<sub>pv</sub> is cross section difference for positive and negative helicity electrons

$$A_{pv} = \frac{d\sigma/d\Omega_{+} - d\sigma/d\Omega_{-}}{d\sigma/d\Omega_{+} + d\sigma/d\Omega_{-}}$$

- $A_{pv}$  from interference of photon and  $Z^0$  exchange.
- Determines weak form factor

$$F_W(q) = \frac{1}{Q_W} \int d^3r j_0(qr)\rho_W(r)$$

- Model independently map out distribution of weak charge in a nucleus.
- Electroweak reaction free from most strong interaction uncertainties.

 $= \approx \frac{G_F Q^2 |Q_W|}{\Xi} \frac{F_W(Q^2)}{\Xi}$  $4\pi\alpha\sqrt{2}Z \quad F_{ch}(Q^2)$ 







![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **PREX-II keak radius R**<sup>w</sup> [ fm ] 5.9 5.7 5.7 $A_{pv} = \frac{d\sigma/d\Omega_{+} - d\sigma/d\Omega_{-}}{d\sigma/d\Omega_{+} + d\sigma/d\Omega_{-}}$ $\approx \frac{G_F Q^2 |Q_W|}{4\pi \alpha \sqrt{2Z}} \frac{F_W(Q^2)}{F_{ch}(Q^2)}$ 5.5

 $A_{PV}^{meas} = 550 \pm 16 (stat) \pm 8 (syst) ppb$ 

5.4

#### **PREX-I+II** Results

Value
$5.800\pm0.0$
$-0.0796 \pm 0.0$
$0.1480\pm0.0$
$0.283\pm0.0$

![](_page_6_Figure_5.jpeg)

## CREX 48Ca

- Closed shell neutron rich nuclei: <sup>208</sup>Pb better related to symmetry energy and EOS while lighter <sup>48</sup>Ca better compared to Chiral EFT calculations with three neutron forces.
- 2.182 GeV electrons scattering with q=0.8733 fm<sup>-1</sup> from <sup>48</sup>Ca.
  - A<sub>PV</sub>=2668+/-106+/-40 ppb
  - Target 8%  $^{40}$ Ca, 0.6%, 0.6%, 0.2% of rate from first three excited states (2<sup>+</sup>, 3<sup>-</sup>, 3<sup>-</sup>).

#### Corre

- Beam Beam Isotop 3.831 4.507 5.370 Trans
- Detec Accep
- Radia

Total Statis

## A<sub>PV</sub> corrections and corresponding systematic errors

ection	Absolute [ppb]	Relative [%]
n polarization	$382 \pm 13$	$14.3\pm0.5$
n trajectory & energy	$68\pm7$	$2.5\pm0.3$
n charge asymmetry	$112 \pm 1$	$4.2\pm0.0$
pic purity	$19\pm3$	$0.7\pm0.1$
MeV $(2^+)$ inelastic	$-35 \pm 19$	$-1.3\pm0.7$
$MeV (3^{-})$ inelastic	$0 \pm 10$	$0\pm0.4$
MeV $(3^{-})$ inelastic	$-2\pm4$	$-0.1\pm0.1$
sverse asymmetry	$0\pm13$	$0\pm0.5$
ctor non-linearity	$0\pm7$	$0\pm0.3$
ptance	$0\pm24$	$0\pm0.9$
ative corrections $(Q_W)$	$0 \pm 10$	$0\pm0.4$
systematic uncertainty	40 ppb	1.5%
stical Uncertainty	106  ppb	4.0%

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **Nuclear measurement vs Astronomical Observation** To probe equation of state

PREX, CREX measure neutron radius of <sup>208</sup>P <sup>48</sup>Ca. Clean electroweak rxn.

**NICER** measures NS radius from X-ray light Some systematic errors.

Electric **dipole polarizability** from coulomb excitation. Potential systematic error from su excited states. Encourage ab initio calculation

LIGO measured gravitational deformability (quadrupole polarizability) of NS from tidal excitation. Statistics limited but systematic errors controllable. 

$$\Lambda \propto \Sigma_f \frac{|\langle f | r^2 Y_{20} | i \rangle|^2}{E_f - E_i} \quad \propto \quad R^5$$

b and	
curve.	
um over ons.	R

	Laboratory measurements on nuclei	observations of neutron stars
Radius	PREX, CREX	NICER
Polarizability	Electric dipole	Gravitational deformability

![](_page_11_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### N=Z Matter

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Symmetry energy

- Symmetry energy S(n) describes how energy rises as one moves away from N=Z
- Energy of pure neutron matter is approx. energy of symmetric nuclear matter + symmetry energy:  $E_n(n) = E_{sym}(n) + S(n)$
- Symmetry pressure:  $P_s = -dS/dV$  so  $P_n = P_{sym} + P_s$

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

C Y Tsang, Betty Tsang, Bill Lynch, Rohit Kumar, CJH

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Symmetry Energy from PREX, CREX

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

- - $L=3n_0dS(n)/dn|_{n_0}$  Extracting L from CREX is more model dependent than from PREX.
- L=106 +/- 37 MeV (PREX), 69 +/- 34 MeV (PREX+CREX)
- The DINO RMF interactions have unusual density dependence, fit to both PREX and CREX

Symmetry energy S(n) describes how E of nuc. matter rises as one moves away from N=Z

### MREX experiment at Mainz

- MESA is high current low energy electron accelerator being built at Mainz.
- Mainz Radius Experiment (MREX) will use MESA and large acceptance P2 detector to measure the neutron skin of <sup>208</sup>Pb more accurately than PREX.
- PREX measured R<sub>n</sub> to 1.3% (+/- 0.07 fm), MREX goal 0.5% (+/- 0.03 fm)

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

155 MeV beam energy beam current 150 μA  $0.28 \, {\rm g/cm^2}$ target density polar angle step size  $\Delta \theta = 4^{\circ}$  $30^\circ$  to  $34^\circ$ polar angular range degree of polarization 85 % parity violating asymmetry 0.66 ppm 1440 hours running time 1%systematic uncertainty  $\delta A^{\rm PV}/A^{\rm PV}$ 1.39% 0.52 %  $\delta R_{\rm n}/R_{\rm n}$ 

# Observation+Exp. Status Circa 2030

- <sup>208</sup>Pb skin: MREX +/0.03 fm (.07 fm PREX)
  - NICER X-ray NS radii: more stars, more statistics, massive NS still ~+/- I km because of systematic errors from NS atmospheres and spot shapes.
- LIGO->A+ configuration: Deformability +/-~100 (now +/- 200 from GW170817)

# Next generation gravitational wave observatories

- The Einstein Telescope is proposed GW detector to be built at Dutch-Belgian-German border or in Sardinia.
- Cosmic explorer is proposed US detector with 40 km arms.
- I0 times more sensitive, I000
  x detection rate, of existing
  LIGO and VIRGO.
- They could accurately measure deformability of neutron stars. Only depends on GR and EOS.

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Neutrino interactions in dense matter are related to EOS

Example: Linear response of a mean field EOS is RPA where RPA interactions determined by EOS model.

### Macroscopic quantum #s of Supernova

	Stellar core	Proto-NS	Neutron star
Neutrinos radiated		<b>10</b> 58	
Baryon number	<b>10</b> 57	<b>10</b> 57	1057
Electron number	<b>10</b> 57	decreasing	1056
Muon number	0	increasing	1055
Tau number	0	<b>10</b> 54	0

# Neutrino luminosity

- shock expansion.
- NS radius.

Effects that allow the proto neutron star neutrino sphere to collapse faster to smaller radius and larger gravitational binding energy can increase neutrino luminosity and aid

• Cold EOS not so important for warm proto

## Neutral current interactions

- Long scattering length of nn interaction correlates two neutrons into a spin zero state that reduces spin (axial) response.
- Correlations can be important even at relatively low densities near neutrino sphere because of long scattering length. Effect not captured in most mean field or RPA models but included in viral EOS.
- These correlations reduce neutral current scattering and increase mu and tau nu mean free paths. This allows more transport of energy helping shock.

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

. .

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Two dimensional SN simulations including correlation effects (light lines) explode earlier than calculations with free spin response (solid lines) for 12 to 25 solar mass stars (right to left).

O'Connor *et al.* 

# Charged current response

- Difference between proton, neutron, and electron chemical potentials important for charged current absorption. (Binding energy or mean field shift)
- Note long scattering lengths imply binding energy shift is more important at low densities than simple mean field EOS might suggest.
- Other interaction effects still incompletely examined.

### PREX and CREX Collaborations

Students: Devi Adhikari, Devaki Bhatta Pathak, Quinn Campagna, Yufan Chen, Cameron Clarke, Catherine Feldman, Iris Halilovic, Siyu Jian, Eric King, Carrington Metts, Marisa Petrusky, Amali Premathilake, Victoria Owen, Robert Radloff, Sakib Rahman, Ryan Richards, Ezekiel Wertz, Tao Ye, Adam Zec, Weibin Zhang

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

Post-docs and Run Coordinators: Rakitha Beminiwattha, Juan Carlos Cornejo, Mark-Macrae Dalton, Ciprian Gal, Chandan Ghosh, Donald Jones, Tyler Kutz, Hanjie Liu, Juliette Mammei, Dustin McNulty, Caryn Palatchi, Sanghwa Park, Ye Tian, Jinlong Zhang

Spokespeople: Kent Paschke (contact), Krishna Kumar, Robert Michaels, Paul A. Souder, Guido M. Urciuoli Thanks to the Hall A techs, Machine Control, Yves Roblin, Jay Benesch and other Jefferson Lab staff

Student **Brenden Reed** made important contributions!

C. Horowitz (horowit@iu.edu)

28

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)