Advances and challenges for ab initio calculations of medium-mass to heavy nuclei and dense matter

Achim Schwenk







Max-Planck-Institut FÜR KERNPHYSIK HEIDELBERG



INT Workshop "Inverse Problems + UQ in Nucl. Phys.", July 10, 2024







ERC AdG EUSTRONG



Exzellente Forschung für Hessens Zukunft

Structure of nuclei and dense matter in neutron stars

doi:10.1038/nature11188

The limits of the nuclear landscape

Jochen Erler^{1,2}, Noah Birge¹, Markus Kortelainen^{1,2,3}, Witold Nazarewicz^{1,2,4}, Erik Olsen^{1,2}, Alexander M. Perhac¹ & Mario Stoitsov^{1,2};

$\sim 4000 \pm 500$ nuclei unknown, extreme neutron-rich



Extreme neutron-rich matter in neutron stars

Chiral effective field theory for nuclear forces

Systematic expansion (power counting) in low momenta $(Q/\Lambda_b)^n$



based on symmetries of strong interaction (QCD)

long-range interactions governed by pion exchanges

powerful approach for many-body interactions

all 3- and 4-neutron forces predicted to N³LO Tews et al., PRL (2013)

Weinberg (1990,91), van Kolck, Kaplan, Savage, Wise, Bernard, Epelbaum, Kaiser, Meißner,...

Great progress in ab initio calculations of nuclei



figures from Hergert (2020)

Chiral effective field theory for nuclear forces Systematic expansion (power counting) in low momenta $(Q/\Lambda_b)^n$

Bayesian order-by-order uncertainty estimates based on power series in $(Q/\Lambda_b)^n$ with prior distribution for expansion coefficients



Furnstahl, Phillips, Klos, Wesolowski, Melendez, Drischler,... (2015-)

In-medium similarity renormalization group (IMSRG) Tsukiyama, Bogner, AS, PRL (2011), Hergert et al., Phys. Rep. (2016) continuous transformation to block-diagonal form (\rightarrow decoupling)



In-medium similarity renormalization group (IMSRG) Tsukiyama, Bogner, AS, PRL (2011), Hergert et al., Phys. Rep. (2016) RG flow equations to decouple higher-lying particle-hole states



In-medium similarity renormalization group (IMSRG) Tsukiyama, Bogner, AS, PRL (2011), Hergert et al., Phys. Rep. (2016) RG flow equations to decouple higher-lying particle-hole states



In-medium similarity renormalization group (IMSRG) Tsukiyama, Bogner, AS, PRL (2011), Hergert et al., Phys. Rep. (2016) RG flow equations to decouple higher-lying particle-hole states



Standard truncation of IMSRG flow equations at normal-ordered 2-body level: IMSRG(2)

First IMSRG(3) results Heinz et al., PRC (2021)



More complete at greater computational cost

Nuclear landscape based on a chiral NN+3N interaction



ab initio is advancing to global theories, limitations due to input NN+3N

Nuclear landscape based on a chiral NN+3N interaction



ab initio is advancing to global theories, limitations due to input NN+3N

Highlights from ISOLDE/CERN and RIBF/RIKEN



for neutron-rich shell structure

Neutron skins from ab initio calculations

neutron skin = $R_n - R_p$ probes neutron matter pressure, large pressure ~ larger skin

different experiments sensitive to neutron skin, provides constraints on matter around saturation density $n_0 = 0.16$ fm⁻³

neutron skins tightly predicted in chiral EFT calculations Arthius et al., arXiv:2401.06675, Novario et al., PRL (2023)



(b) EMN 500 $\lambda = 2.0 \text{ fm}^{-1}$

20

40

200

rank

60

o LO

♦ NLO

▼ N²LO

△ N³LO

80

EMN 500

 ${}^{1}S_{0}$ ${}^{3}S_{1} - {}^{3}D_{2}$

 ${}^{3}P_{0}$

 $^{1}D_{2}$

a

-2

-8

-10 -12

 $\log s_i$

work of Tom Plies and Matthias Heinz, preliminary use singular value decomposition (SVD) as operator basis see Tichai et al., PLB (2021)

consider lowest 5 singular values/operators

effectively 3 generate phase shift variation



work of Tom Plies and Matthias Heinz, preliminary

generate range of low-resolution NN interactions from random draws among 3 singular values with likelihood given by EKM uncertainties



 ${}^{1}S_{0}$

work of Tom Plies and Matthias Heinz, preliminary generate range of low-resolution NN interactions from random draws among 3 singular values with likelihood given by EKM uncertainties



comparison to nonimplausible $\Delta N^2 LO$ interactions Ekström, Forssen et al.

work of Tom Plies and Matthias Heinz, preliminary generate range of low-resolution NN interactions here: SRG-evolved EMN, S and P waves, higher partial waves unvaried



work of Tom Plies and Matthias Heinz, preliminary generate range of low-resolution NN interactions here: SRG-evolved EMN, S and P waves, higher partial waves unvaried

resulting posterior distributions for ³H and ¹⁶O ground-state energies



³H uncertainties agree well with EKM, ¹⁶O broader (good!) next steps: improve sampling and include 3N interactions

Door, Yeh, Heinz, Kirk, Lyu, Miyagi et al., arXiv:2403.07792 isotope shifts of atomic transitions -

$$\nu_{\tau}^{A,A'} = \nu_{\tau}^{A} - \nu_{\tau}^{A'} \approx K_{\tau} w^{A,A'} + F_{\tau} \delta \langle r^2 \rangle^{A,A'}$$
mass shift field shift

leading terms give linear King plot

nonlinearities from higher-order Standard Model and BSM

$$\begin{split} \nu_{\tau,\mathrm{nonlin.}}^{A,A'} &= G_{\tau}^{(2)} (\delta \langle r^2 \rangle^2)^{A,A'} + G_{\tau}^{(4)} \delta \langle r^4 \rangle^{A,A'} \\ & \text{higher-order nuclear structure} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{NP}}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{EM}}} D_{\tau} h^{A,A'} + \dots \\ & \text{possible new boson} \end{split}$$



laser spectroscopy (PTB) + Penning trap mass measurements (MPIK) show clear nonlinearities see also previous MIT experiment Hur et al., PRL (2022)



theory predictions of quartic radius needed

Door, Yeh, Heinz, Kirk, Lyu, Miyagi et al., arXiv:2403.07792 nonlinearity decomposition suggests one dominant contribution

$$\begin{split} \nu_{\tau,\mathrm{nonlin.}}^{A,A'} &= G_{\tau}^{(2)} (\delta \langle r^2 \rangle^2)^{A,A'} + G_{\tau}^{(4)} \delta \langle r^4 \rangle^{A,A'} \\ & \text{higher-order nuclear structure} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{NP}}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{EM}}} D_{\tau} h^{A,A'} + \dots \\ & \text{possible new boson} \end{split}$$

 \rightarrow not quadratic field shift \rightarrow not new boson

theory predictions of quartic radius: VS-IMSRG calculations, uncertainty estimates from: 1.8/2.0 (EM), $\Delta N^2 LO_{GO}$ interactions, two valence spaces, many-body estimated from IMSRG(3)



Door, Yeh, Heinz, Kirk, Lyu, Miyagi et al., arXiv:2403.07792 nonlinearity decomposition suggests one dominant contribution



- \rightarrow not quadratic field shift
- \rightarrow not new boson
- → dominant nonlinearity from quartic radius term
- NEW: extract quartic radius from experimental data:
- observable related to deformation, trends consistent with ab initio



Extreme matter in neutron stars

governed by the same strong interactions: chiral EFT sets pressure of first few km to inside



Watts et al., RMP (2016)

Chiral EFT calculations of neutron matter

good agreement up to saturation density for neutron matter including NN, 3N, 4N interactions up to N³LO



slope determines pressure of neutron matter

comparison to unitary Fermi gas measured with cold atoms

behavior very similar to 0.1 fm⁻³ because neutrons have large scattering length $a_s = -18.5$ fm

stronger increase towards higher densities (EOS becomes stiffer) due to repulsive 3N forces EOS for arbitrary proton fraction and temperature Keller, Hebeler, AS, PRL (2023) based on chiral EFT NN+3N interactions to N³LO order-by-order EFT uncertainties + (small) many-body uncertainties



excellent reproduction of free energy data by Gaussian process (GP)

agrees with model-indep. virial EOS Horowitz, AS, NPA (2006) at low densities

EOS for neutron star matter in beta equilibrium

Keller, Hebeler, AS, PRL (2023) use GP emulator to access arbitrary proton fraction, solve for beta equilibrium

- EOS of neutron star matter at N²LO and N³LO, no indication of EFT breakdown
- N³LO band prefers higher pressures, improvement over older calculations



Subnuclear phase diagram of neutron star matter

 $\sim 5\%$ proton fraction in denser neutron matter

below ~ 0.5 n_0 possible pasta phases: clusters/structures of high density surrounded by neutron (and proton) gas: neutron (proton) drip



Keller, Hebeler, Pethick, AS, PRL (2024)

Challenging problem for uncertainty quantification due to correlated quantities, derivatives with larger many-body uncertainties,...

New NICER results for PSR J0437



Neutron star radius from pulse profile modeling

here: Amsterdam analysis ApJL (2019), (2021), 2407.06789

J0030 and J0740 similar results from Illinois-Maryland analysis ApJL (2019), (2021)







Combined LIGO/Virgo and new NICER constraints

Raaijmakers et al., ApJL (2020), (2021), Rutherford, Mendes, Svensson et al., 2407.06790



Chiral EFT for coupling to electroweak interactions



Chiral EFT for coupling to electroweak interactions

consistent electroweak one- and two-body currents

Gamow-Teller beta decay of ¹⁰⁰Sn Gysbers et al., Nature Phys. (2019)

Magnetic moments of nuclei Pastore et al. (2012-)



two-body currents (2BC) key for quenching puzzle of beta decays

quenching puzzle of beta decays + always improve magnetic moments



Chiral EFT for coupling to electroweak interactions consistent electroweak one- and two-body currents



two-body currents (2BC) key for quenching puzzle of beta decays +

always improve magnetic moments

^ACa

Summary

Thanks to: <u>ab initio</u>: **P. Arthuis**, K. Hebeler, H. Hergert, **M. Heinz**, **J. Hoppe**, J.D. Holt, **T. Miyagi**, **T. Plies**, R. Stroberg, **A. Tichai** <u>EOS</u>: **Y. Dietz**, C. Drischler, **H. Göttling**, K. Hebeler, **S. Huth**, J. Lattimer, **J. Keller**, **M. Mendes**, C. Pethick, **G. Raaijmakers**, **N. Rutherford**, **I. Svensson**, I. Tews, A. Watts

chiral EFT interactions + powerful many-body methods
→ great progress for ab initio calcs of nuclei and dense matter

reliable EOS up to ~ 1-2 n_0 with controlled uncertainties \rightarrow key for multimessenger era of neutron stars, high-density constraints from astrophysics

ongoing work on EFT and many-body uncertainty quantification

New chiral low-resolution interactions

Arthuis, Hebeler, AS, arXiv:2401.06675

based on SRG-evolved NN interactions, 3N couplings fit to ³H and ¹⁶O

accurate for ground-state properties from ¹⁶O to ²⁰⁸Pb



Neutron/proton density distributions in medium-heavy nuclei Arthuis, Hebeler, AS, arXiv:2401.06675 based on SRG-evolved NN interactions, 3N couplings fit to ³H and ¹⁶O

accurate for ground-state properties from ¹⁶O to ²⁰⁸Pb

very good agreement for density distributions in ¹²⁰Sn and ²⁰⁸Pb



Neutron skins

Arthuis, Hebeler, AS, arXiv:2401.06675 very model independent based on new (and previous) chiral NN+3N interactions

dependence of neutron skin corrected for Coulomb is linear in isospin see also Novario et al., PRL (2023)

interesting predictions for extreme n-rich nuclei



Impact on neutron stars Hebeler, Lattimer, Pethick, AS, PRL (2010), ApJ (2013) constrain high-density EOS by causality, require to support 2 M_{sun} star



predicts neutron star radius: 9.7 - 13.9 km for M=1.4 M_{sun} 1.8 - 4.4 n_0 modest central densities

Impact on neutron stars Hebeler, Lattimer, Pethick, AS, PRL (2010), ApJ (2013) constrain high-density EOS by causality, require to support 2 M_{sun} star



predicts neutron star radius: 9.7 - 13.9 km for M=1.4 M_{sun} 1.8 - 4.4 n_0 modest central densities

Neutron star radius

chiral EFT + general EOS extrapolation based on causality + 2 M_{sun} stars predicted neutron star radii: 9.7 - 13.9 km for M=1.4 M_{sun} Hebeler, Lattimer, Pethick, AS, PRL (2010), ApJ (2013)

consistent with LIGO/Virgo

GW170817: Measurements of neutron star radii and equation of state

The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and The Virgo Collaboration

